Inverse of matrix example pdf

I'm not robot
reCAPTCHA
Continue

This article needs additional quotes for verification. Please help improve this article by adding quotes to trusted sources. Non-source material can be challenged and removed. In 2009, a press release was carried out that it is possible to bring about a new Newspapers · Books · erudite · JSTOR (September 2020) (Learn how and when to remove this template message) matrix that has a multiplication inversel linear algebra, an n-by-n square array A is called invertible (also non-unsung or non-deserving), if there is an n-by-n square array A called invertible (also non-unsung or non-deservable), if there is an n-by-n square array B so that A B = B A = I n {\displaystyle \mathbf {AB} =\mathbf {BA} =\mathbf {I} _{n}\ } where I denotes the n-by-n identity matrix and the multiplication used is regular array multiplication. If this is the case, array B is uniquely determined by A, and is called (multiplier) inverse of A, denoted by A-1. [1] [2] Matrix inversion is the process of finding the matrix B that satisfies the previous equation for a given invertible array A. A square array that is not invertible is called singular or degenerate. A square array is singular if and only if the determinant is zero. [3] Singular arrays are rare in the sense that if a square array entries are randomly selected from a limited region on the number line or complex plane, the probability that the array is singular is 0, that is, it will almost never be singular. Non-square arrays (m-by-n arrays that m ≠ n) do not have an inverse. But in some cases, such a matrix can have a left inverse or right inverse. If A is m-by-n and the rating of A equals n (n ≤ m), A has a left inverse, an n-by-m matrix B so that BA = In. If A has rank m (m ≤ n), then it has a straight inverse, an n-by-m matrix B so that AB = Im. While the most common case is matrices of the real or complex numbers, all of these definitions can be provided for arrays of any ring. But in case the ring is commutative, the condition of a square matrix is to be invertible that its determinant is invertible in the ring, which is generally a stricter requirement than being non-zero. For a non-commutative ring, the common determinant is not defined. The conditions for the existence of left-inverse or right-inverse are more complicated, since a notion of rank does not exist above rings. The set of n × n invertible arrays together with the operation of array multiplication (and entries from ring R) form a group, the general linear group of grade n, termed G L n (R) {\displaystyle GL_{n}(R)} . [1] Properties The invertible matrix theorem Let A be a square n of n array above a field K (e.g. field R of real numbers). The following statements are similar (that is, they are either true or all false for a given matrix):[4] A is invertible, that is, A has an inverse, is unusable, or is non-depleted. A is row equivalent to n-by-n identity matrix In. A is column equivalent to n-by-n identity matrix A does not have pivot positions. the A ≠ 0. In general, a matrix over a commutative ring is invertible if and only if the determinant is a unit in that ring. A has full rank; that is, rank A = n. Equation Ax = 0 has only trivial solution x = 0. The core of A is trivial, that is, it contains only the zero vector as an element, ker(A) = {0}. The equation Ax = b has exactly one solution for each b in Kn. The columns in A are linearly independent. The columns in A span Kn. Col A = Kn. The columns in A form the basis of Kn. The linear transformation mapping x to Ax is a bijection from Kn to Kn. It is an n-by-n array B so that AB = I = BA. Transposes AT is an invertible matrix (hence rows of A are linear independent, span Kn, and form a basis for Kn). The number 0 is not an eigenvalue of A. The matrix A can be expressed as a limited product of elementary matrices. Array A has a left inverse (that is, there is a B so that BA = I) or a right inverse (that is, there is a C so that AC = I), in which case both left and right inverse exist and B = C = A−1. Other properties Also hold the following properties for an invertible array A: (A−1)−1 = A; (kA)−1 = k−1A−1 for non-zero scalar k; (Ax)+ = x+A−1 if A has orthonic columns, where + denotes Moore-Penrose inverse and x is a vector; (AT)−1 = (A-1)T; For any invertible n-by-n matrices A and B, (AB)−1 = B−1A−1. More generally, if A1, ..., Ak are invertible n-by-n matrices, then (A1A2----Ak−1Ak)−1 = A−1kA−1k−1⋯A−12A−11; a−1 = (the A)−1. The rows in the inverse array V for an array you are ortonormal to the columns in the U (and, inversely, swap rows for columns). To see this, assume that UV=VU=I where the rows with V are marked as v in T {\displaystyle v_{i}^{T}} and the columns in U as u j {\displaystyle u_{j}} for 1 ≤ in , j ≤ n {\displaystyle 1\leq i,j\leq n} . Of course, Euclidean inner product of two v i T u j = δ in , j {\displaystyle v_{i}^{T}u_{j}=\delta _{i,j}} . This property can also be useful for constructing the inverse of a square matrix in some cases, where a set of orthogonal vectors (but not necessarily orthotonworm vectors) to the columns you are known. In this case, one can use the iterative Gram-Schmidt process on this first set to determine the rows in the inverse V. An array that is its own inverse (that is, an array A so that A = A−1 and A2 = I), is called an involutory array. In relation to the adjugatet Vedjugatet for an array A {\displaystyle A} can be used to find the inverse of A {\displaystyle A} as follows: If A {\displaystyle A} is a n × n {\displaystyle n\times n} invertible array, then A − 1 = 1 it (A) adj (A). {\displaystyle A^{-1}={\frac {1}{\it (A)}}\operatorname {adj} (A).} In relation to the identity matrix It follows from the association of array multiplication that if A B = I {\displaystyle \mathbf {AB} =\mathbf {I} \ } for limited square arrays A and B, then also B A = I {\displaystyle \mathbf {BA} =\mathbf {I} \ } [5] Density Over of real numbers, the set of singular n-by-n matrices, considered a subset of Rn×n, is a zero set, that is, Lebesgue has measurements zero. This applies because singular arrays are the roots of the determinant function. This is a continuous feature because there is a polynomial in the matrix entries. Thus in the measurement language theory, almost all n-by-n matrices are indisputable. Moreover, n-by-n invertible matrices are a tightly open set in the topological space of all n-by-n matrices. Similarly, the set of singular arrays is closed and nowhere close during n-by-n matrices. In practice, however, one may encounter non-incontrovertible matrices. And in numerical calculations, matrices that are invertible, but close to a non-invertible matrix, can still be problematic; such matrices are said to be poorly conditioned. Examples Consider the following matrix 2-by-2: A = (− 1 3 2 1 − 1) . {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ={\begin{pmatrix}-1&{ \tfrac {3}{2}}\\1&-1\end{pmatrix}}.} Array A {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} } is invertible. To check this, one can calculate the A = − 1 / 2 {\displaystyle \the \mathbf {A} =-1/2} , which is not zero. As an example of a non-invertible matrix, consider the matrix B = (− 1 3 2 2 3 − 1) . {\displaystyle \mathbf {B} ={\begin{pmatrix}-1&{ \tfrac {3}{2}}\\{\tfrac {2}{3}}&-1\end{pmatrix}}.} The determinant of B {\displaystyle \mathbf {B} } is 0, which is a necessary and adequate condition for an array not invertible. Methods of matrix inversion Gaussian elimination Gauss-Jordan elimination is an algorithm that can be used to determine whether a given matrix is invertible and to find the inverse. An option is LU decomposition, which generates upper and lower triangular matrices, which are easier to invert. Newton's method A generalization of Newton's method used for a multiplicative inverse algorithm can be convenient, if it is convenient to find a suitable starting seed: X k + 1 = 2 X k − X k A X k . {\displaystyle X_{k+1}=2X_{k}-X_{k}AX_{k}.} Victor Pan and John Reif have done work that includes ways to generate a starting seed. [7] Byte magazine summarized one of their approaches. Newton's method is especially useful when working with families of related matrices that behave enough like the sequence produced for homotopy above: sometimes a good starting point for refining an approach for the new inverse may be the already achieved inverse of a previous matrix that almost matches the current matrix, for example, the pair of sequences of inverse arrays used in obtaining the matrix square roots of Denman-Beavers iteration; This may need more than one pass of the iteration on each new matrix, if they are not close enough together for just one to be enough. Newton's method is also useful for touch up corrections to the Gauss-Jordan algorithm that has been contaminated by small errors due to imperfect computer arithmetic. Cayley-Hamilton method theorem allows the inverse A {\displaystyle A} to be expressed in the form of it(A {\displaystyle A}), track and forces in A {\displaystyle A} :[9] A − 1 = 1 it (A) ∑ s = 0 n − 1 A s ∑ k 1, k 2, ... , k n − 1 ∏ l = 1 n − 1 (− 1) k l + 1 l ! k l ! tr (A l) k l , {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}={\frac {1}{\it(\mathbf {A})}}\sum _{s=0}^{n-1}\mathbf {A} ^{s}\sum _{k_{1},k_{2},\ldots ,k_{n-1}}\prod _{l=1}^{n-1}{\frac {(-1)^{k_{l}+1}}{l^{k_{l}}k_{l}!}} \operatorname {tr} (\mathbf {A} ^{l})^{k_{l}},} where n {\displaystyle n} is dimension A {\displaystyle A} and tr (A) {\displaystyle \operatorname {tr} (A)} is tracked by array A {\displaystyle A} given by the sum of the main diagonal. The sum is taken over s {\displaystyle s} and the sets for all k l ≥ 0 {\displaystyle k_{l}\geq 0} which satisfies the linear Difant imitation s + ∑ l = 1 n − 1 l k l = n − 1. {\displaystyle s+\sum _{l=1}^{n-1}lk_{l}=n-1.} The formula can be rewritten in the form of complete Bell polynomials of arguments t l = − (l − 1) ! tr (A l) {\displaystyle t_{l}=-(l-1)!\operatorname {tr} (A^{l})} as A − 1 = 1 it (A) ∑ s = 1 n A s − 1 (− 1) n − 1 (n − s) ! B n − s (t 1 , t 2 , ... , t n − s) . {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}={\frac {1}{\it(\mathbf {A})}}\sum _{s=1}^{n}\mathbf {A} ^{s-1}{\frac {(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-s)!}} B_{n-s}(t_{1},t_{2},\ldots ,t_{n-s}).} Eigendesammensetning Elaborate article: Eigendecomposition of an array If matrix A can be ecorated, and if none of the eigen values are zero, then A is invertible and its inverse is given by A − 1 = Q Λ − 1 Q − 1 , {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}=\mathbf {Q} \mathbf {\Lambda } ^{-1}\mathbf {Q} ^{-1},} where Q {\displaystyle \mathbf {Q} } is the square matrix (N×N) with the i-th column is eigenvector q in {\displaystyle q_{i}} in A {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} } , and Λ {\displaystyle \mathbf {\Lambda } } is the diagonal array of diagonal elements corresponding to eigenvalues, i.e. Λ i = λ in {\displaystyle \Lambda _{ii}=\lambda _{i}} . If A {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} } is symmetrical, Q {\displaystyle \mathbf {Q} } is guaranteed to be an orthogonal array, therefore Q − 1 = Q T {\displaystyle \mathbf {Q} ^{-1}=\mathbf {Q} ^\mathrm {T} } . Moreover, because Λ {\displaystyle \mathbf {\Lambda } } is a diagonal array, its inverse is easy to calculate: [Λ − 1] in i = 1 λ i . {\displaystyle \left[\Lambda ^{-1}\right]_{ii}={\frac {1}{\lambda _{i}}}.} Cholesky degradation Main article: Cholesky degradation If matrix A is positively determined, then its inverse can be obtained as A − 1 = (L ∗) − 1 L − 1 , {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}=(\mathbf {L} ^{*})^{-1}\mathbf {L} ^{-1},} where L is the lower triangular Cholesky breakdown of A, and L* denotes conjugate transposition of L. Analytical Solution Main article : Cramer's rule To write the transposition of the matrix of cofactors , known as an adjugate matrix, can also be an effective way to calculate the inverse of small matrices, but this method is ineffective for large matrices. To determine the inverse, we calculate a matrix of cofactors: A − 1 = 1 | A | C T = 1 | A | (C 11 C 21 ⋯ C n 1 C 12 C 22 ⋯ C n 2 ⋮ ⋮ ⋱ ⋮ C 1 n C 2 n ⋯ C n n) {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}={1 \over {\begin{vmatrix}\mathbf {A} \end{vmatrix}}}\mathbf {C} ^{\mathrm {T} }={1 \over {\begin {vm atrix}\mathbf {A} \end{vmatrix}}}{\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf {C} _{11}&\mathbf {C} _{21}&\cdots &\mathbf {C} _{n1}\\\mathbf {C} _{12}&\mathbf {C} _{22}&\cdots &\mathbf {C} _{n2}\\\vdots &\vdots &\ddots {&\vdots \\Mathbf {C} _{1n}&\mathbf {C} _{2n}&\cdots &\mathbf {C} _{nn}\\\end{pmatrix}}} so that (A − 1) in j = 1 | A | (C T) in j = 1 | A | (C j i) {\displaystyle \left(\mathbf {A} ^{-1}\right)_{ij}={1 \over {\begin{vmatrix}\mathbf {A} \end{vmatrix}}}\left(\mathbf {C} ^{\mathrm {T} }\right)_{ij}={1 \over

{\begin{vmatrix}\mathbf {A} \end{vmatrix}}\left(\mathbf {C} _{ji}\right)} where | A| is the determinant of A, C is the matrix of cofactors, and CT represents matrix transposes. Inversion of 2 × 2 arrays The cofactor equation listed above gives the following result for 2 × 2 arrays. Inversion of these arrays can be done as follows:[10] A − 1 = [a b c d] − 1 = 1 det A [d − b − c a] = 1 a d − b c [d − b − c a] . {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}={{\begin{bmatrix}a&b\\c&d\\\end{bmatrix}}^{-1}=\frac {1}{\the \mathbf {A} }}{\begin{bmatrix}\,\,d &\!! <-b\\-c&\,a\\\end{bmatrix}}=\frac {1}{ad-bc}} {\begin{bmatrix}\,\,d&\!\!-b\\-c&&,a\\\end{bmatrix}}.} This is possible because 1/(ad − bc) is mutually by the determinant of the relevant array, and the same strategy can be used for other array sizes. The Cayley-Hamilton method gives A − 1 = 1 it A [(tr A) I − A] . {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}={\frac {1}{\the \mathbf {A} }}\left[\left(\operatorname {tr} \mathbf {A} \right)\mathbf {I} -\mathbf {A} \right].} Inversion of 3 × 3 matrices A computational effect 3 × 3 array inversion is provided by A − 1 = [a b c d e f g h i] − 1 = 1 it (A) [A B C D E F G I] T = 1 it (A) In] {\displaystyle \mathbf {A} ^{-1}= {\begin{bmatrix}a&b&c\\d&f\\g&h&i\\\end{bmatrix}}^{-1}={\frac {1}{\it(\mathbf {A})}}{\begin{bmatrix}\,A&\,B&\,C\\\,D&\,E&\,F\\\,G&\,H&\,I\\\end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm {T} }={\frac {1}{\det(Mathbf {A})}} {\begin{bmatrix}\,A&\,D&\,G\\\,B&\,E&\,H\\\,C&\ ,F&\,I\\\end{bmatrix}} (where scalar A should not be confused with array A). If the determinant is not zero, the matrix is invertable, with the elements in the intermediary matrix on the right side above given by A = (e in − f h), D = − (b i − c h), G = (b f − c e), B = − (d i − f g) , E = (a i − c g), H = − − (a f − c d), C = (d h − e g), F = − − (a h − b g), I = (a e − b d). {\displaystyle {\begin{aligned}{6}A&{} &(ei-fh),&&\quad & G&={}&(bf-ce),\B&={}&-(di-fg),&\quad & quad & &={}&(s-cg),&\quad &quad&;; H& {}&-(af-cd),\\C&={}&\ \quad&\quad &\quad&\a0></a0></a0></a0>A0></a0><;En;<<;en;<<<<<<</a0></a0></a0&g